

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/632,026		07/31/2003	Jay Lahti	P-11616.00	P-11616.00 9661	
27581	7590	07/03/2006		EXAMINER		
MEDTRO	-			ALTER, ALYSSA M		
710 MEDTRONIC PARK MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55432-9924				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3762		
				DATE MAILED: 07/03/200	DATE MAILED: 07/03/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	· · ·				
Office Action Summary		10/632,026	LAHTI ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Alyssa M. Alter	3762					
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communic	ation appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addre	ess				
A SH THE - Exte after - If th - If NO - Faile Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC Insions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic Insions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic Insions of time may be available under the provisions of Insions of time may be available under the provisions of Insions of time may be available under the maximum status Insions of time may be available under the maximum status Insions of time may be available under the maximum status Insions of time may be available under the maximum status Insions of time may be available under the provisions of Insions of time may be available under the provisions of Insions of time may be available under the provisions of Insions of time may be available under the provisions of Insions of time may be available under the provisions of Insions of time may be available under the provisions of Insions of time may be available under the provisions of Insions of time may be available under the provisions of Insions of time may be available under the provisions of Insions of time may be available under the provisions of Insions of time provisions of time provisions of Insions of time may be available under the provisions of Insions of time provisions of time provisions of Insions of time provisions of	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of this tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ill, by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this comm BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 05 April 2006.						
		o)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,3-17,19-33 and 35-48 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1, 3-17, 19-33 and 35-48 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on 31 July 2003 is Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to be	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje ion to the drawing(s) be held in abeya he correction is required if the drawing	nnce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	` '				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
	ot(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date					
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P1) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P er No(s)/Mail Date	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)				

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on April 5, 2006 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see page 13, filed April 5, 2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1,3-17,19-33 and 35-48 under 35 U.S.C 102(b) and 103(a) in view of Lim (US 5,769,671) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Darby et al. (US 5275620).

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double

Art Unit: 3762

patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

1. Claims 1, 3-17, 19-33 and 35-48 stand provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-28 of copending Application No. 10/632058 (US Patent Publication 20050027327 A1). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both have a connector assembly for detachably connecting a lead to an implantable medical device, comprising a deflectable connector clip including a first arm, a second arm, the connector clip capable of being deflected from a first position corresponding to a first relative position of the first arm and the second arm to a second position corresponding to a second relative position of the first arm and the second arm; and a housing having a first member and a second member, the first member formed to be fixedly engaged with the second member to enclose the connector clip within the housing, wherein the connector clip is positioned within one of the first member and the second member.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

2. Claims 1, 3-17, 19-33 and 35-48 stand provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-30 of copending Application No. 10/632028 (US Patent Publication 20050027326 A1). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not

Art Unit: 3762

patentably distinct from each other because both have a connector assembly for detachably connecting a lead to an implantable medical device, comprising a deflectable connector clip including a first arm, a second arm, the connector clip capable of being deflected from a first position corresponding to a first relative position of the first arm and the second arm to a second position corresponding to a second relative position of the first arm and the second arm; and a housing having a first member and a second member, the first member formed to be fixedly engaged with the second member to enclose the connector clip within the housing, wherein the connector clip is positioned within one of the first member and the second member.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1, 3-17, 19-33, 35- are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Darby et al. (US 5275620). Darby et al. discloses an implantable lead connector, which is a clip having a top portion and two arms. The clip is disposed within a housing as seen in figure 5. The first position of the arms is before the arms are

Art Unit: 3762

placed in the housing, the second position is after the arms are placed in the housing and the third position is after the clip engages the lead.

As to claims 3-4, 19-20 and 35-36, the cantilevered strips 12 and 14 are preferably formed of a conductive material, such as stainless steel or titanium.

As to claims 7-9, 24-26 and 39-41, as seen in figure 5, the first arm 14 and the second arm 16 have two corresponding flanges, a first flange where the arm 14 rests and a second flange, Both these flanges create recesses in which the corners of the arms are located.

As to claims 13-14, 29-30 and 45-46, the third flange is one of the sealing rings 60 as depicted in figure 5.

Application/Control Number: 10/632,026

Art Unit: 3762

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alyssa M. Alter whose telephone number is (571) 272-4939. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9am to 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (571) 272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alyssa M Alter Examiner

Art Unit 3762

GEORGER EVANISKO PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6